New APEL-SCIDLA Executive Director

After 14 years of service to the APELSCIDLA Board, Mark N. Courtney has become the Deputy Director of Licensing and Regulation at DPOR. The APELSCIDLA Board Office has recently welcomed Kathleen “Kate” R. Nosbisch as the new Executive Director of the APELSCIDLA Board.

Prior to coming to DPOR to assume the role of Executive Director of the APELSCIDLA Board, Ms. Nosbisch served as the Deputy Executive Director for Practitioner Information for the Virginia Board of Medicine at the Department of Health Professions (DHP) for the past eight years.

She is the President of the Council on Licensure, Enforcement and Regulation (CLEAR) and has held a faculty position in CLEAR’s Executive Leadership Program for Regulators for the past five years. She also just finished a two-year term on the Administrators in Medicine (AIM) Board of Directors as the Southern Region Representative.

Before relocating to Virginia in 1999, Kate managed credentialing departments for various health plans and an integrated delivery system in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. She received her B.A. in Business/Communication and her M.S. in Professional Leadership (training and development concentration) from Carlow University in

Continuing Education on the Way

The Virginia General Assembly passed a bill during the 2006 legislative session which requires that the APELSCIDLA Board implement a mandatory continuing education program (16 hours of continuing education activities) for architects, professional engineers, and land surveyors (HB1054). The law became effective on July 1, 2006, and the Board initiated the process to promulgate the necessary regulations for the program on September 7, 2006, and established a committee to develop draft wording for consideration by the Board which will take approximately 18 to 24 months.

Therefore, at this time, mandatory continuing education is not required and the regulations which will address the details have not yet been created. You may track the development of these regulations via this link - http://www.townhall.virginia.gov/Action/ViewA
New APELSCIDLA Regulations

The Board’s new regulations became effective February 1, 2007, and are available for review on our website—


ALL PROFESSIONS PLEASE NOTE THE FOLLOWING CHANGE:

Effective February 1, 2007, the APELSCIDLA Board amended its regulations to include "Lic." or "Cert." (as appropriate) on the seals. The purpose of the change is to assist in easily distinguishing among plans submitted by licensed versus certified professionals, and therefore ascertaining whether the correct design professional is submitting the plans for approval.

For the large number of professionals who generate their seals from software, the impact should be negligible as the image of the seal need only be modified to include "Lic." or “Cert.” (as appropriate). Individuals may still elect to seal using a rubber stamp or embossed seal, and the Board changes the seal image requirements infrequently.

Below is the language of the Board’s regulations regarding the seal image (effective February 1, 2007):

18 VAC 10-20-760. Use of seal.

6. The seal shall conform in detail and size to the design illustrated below and shall be two inches in diameter. The designs below may not be shown to scale:
Welcome!

James R. Boyd

A native of Halifax County, Virginia, Mr. Boyd studied architecture at Herriott-Watt University in Edinburgh, Scotland and the University of Virginia, from which he received a Bachelor of Architecture degree in 1975. Licensed in Virginia and North Carolina, Mr. Boyd is President of Heyward Boyd Architects, PC, of Charlottesville. His design awards include the Frederic Doveton Nichols Award given by the Preservation Alliance of Virginia for the restoration of Greenville, a Greek Revival residence in Culpeper County.

Prior his appointment to the APELSCIDLA Board, Mr. Boyd served the American Institute of Architects in a variety of capacities including Virginia Society AIA Vice-President for Government Affairs, Vir...

Business Registrations

As business registrations are a very important issue, we felt it necessary to revisit this matter.

The Code of Virginia requires that any business offering and/or practicing professional services within the Commonwealth of Virginia must be registered with the APELSCIDLA Board. The only exception to this rule is sole proprietorships that do not employ individuals who are required to be licensed.

The structure of the business determines what type of registration is needed. An attorney or CPA can assist in determining the best type of structure for a business if it has not already been established. Please note that in order to practice in Virginia, your business must first be registered with the SCC and then with the Board office. You may contact the Virginia State Corporation Commission (SCC) at (804) 371-9733 to obtain additional information on the various types of businesses.

A business may be structured as a professional corporation, professional limited liability company, or business entity. You may find information on each type of registration in the Board regulations as follows:

- PC   Part VII
- PLLC  Part IX
- Business Entity   Part X

A company that has more than one office offering professional services in VA must obtain a branch office registration for each additional office. The branch office must have a responsible person in charge for each profession practiced.

Please refer to the Board’s regulations and/or contact the Board office at (804) 367-8512 for more information. You may also visit the Board’s website 24 hours a day http://www.dpor.virginia.gov/

New Address for APELSCIDLA Board Office

Just a friendly reminder that the Board office moved to its new location in August of 2007. Please use any of the following methods if you need to contact the Board for licensure or examination assistance:

Physical Address:
9960 Mayland Drive
Suite 400
Richmond, VA 23233
Phone: (804) 367-8512
Fax: (804) 527-4294
E-Mail: apelscidla@dpor.virginia.gov

All forms and licensing information are available 24 hours a day on our website:
Examination Statistics

The following statistics are a compilation of the Virginia candidates who sat for the April 2008, Engineering and Land Surveying examinations.

### April 2008 Engineer Exam Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th># Candidates</th>
<th># Passing</th>
<th>% Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fundamentals</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principles and Practice</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### April 2008 Land Surveyor Exam Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th># Candidates</th>
<th># Passing</th>
<th>% Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fundamentals</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principles and Practice</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Portion</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following statistics are a compilation of the Virginia candidates who sat for the Architect Registration Examination (ARE) from March to June 2008.

### January—June 2008 ARE Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th># Candidates</th>
<th># Passing</th>
<th>% Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building Planning</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Technology</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Documents</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Structures</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Forces</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials &amp; Methods</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical &amp; Electrical Systems</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Design</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Planning</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following are the statistics for the Virginia candidates who took the Landscape Architect Registration Examination (LARE) in March & June 2008:

### March 2008 LARE Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th># Candidates</th>
<th># Passing</th>
<th>% Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal &amp; Administrative Aspects Practice (Section A)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical Aspects of Practice (Section B)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Cons. &amp; Methods &amp; Materials of Const. (Section D)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### June 2008 LARE Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th># Candidates</th>
<th># Passing</th>
<th>% Passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning &amp; Design (Section C)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grading, Drainage &amp; Storm Water Management (Section E)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visit the Town Hall website for up-to-date information on reg actions, meeting information and much much more.

[https://www.townhall.state.va.us/intro.cfm](https://www.townhall.state.va.us/intro.cfm)
Professional Rules of Conduct

The primary obligation of licensees and certificate holders to protect the public is clearly stated in 18 VAC 10-20-690 of the Rules and Regulations. The Rules and Regulations also mandate truthfulness in all matters (18 VAC 10-20-700) and caution regulants about conflict of interest (18 VAC 10-20-710), including the representation of their work to clients and others (18 VAC 10-20-720.2). Licensees and certificate holders are prohibited from engaging in dishonest business practices (18 VAC 10-20-740.A) and from using another's work (18 VAC 10-20-740.D). Regulants are also required to report violations of the Rules and Regulations by their colleagues to the APELSCIDL Board (18 VAC 10-20-740.B).

A segment of the Rules and Regulations which may not be clearly understood by regulants is 18 VAC 10-20-730 - Competency for assignments. This section places the regulant's responsibility as a licensee or certificate holder above certain business practices.

18 VAC 10-20-730.A restricts the regulant to performing work within the discipline they are licensed or certified to perform. Assignments outside the regulant's field may be accepted "only to the extent that services are restricted to those phases of a project in which the professional is qualified." For example, a landscape architect may enter into a contract with a client to design a non-exempt building, but the architectural portions must be designed by a licensed architect, the engineering systems by a professional engineer, et cetera.

18 VAC 10-20-730.B mandates that the professional must not misrepresent his qualifications and the scope of his responsibility on a project to a prospective or existing client. Licensees and certificate holders are responsible for accurately informing the client about the depth and breadth of each discipline's professional responsibilities as it relates to the delivery of professional services. For example, the client must understand that even as the lead consultant the Landscape Architect is prohibited from making decisions pertaining to the means of egress or to the design of the structure or of the HVAC system. Additionally, 18 VAC 10-20-730.B requires the licensee or certificate holder to ensure that the best professional judgment is exercised when unlicensed individuals, including employees and consultants, are involved in a project. The professional must remain in "responsible charge" (Code of Virginia 54.1-400 - Definitions.) of the project and may not delegate this responsibility to unlicensed persons.

In addition to the standards set forth in the Rules and Regulations, the licensee or certificate holder is required to adhere to all minimum standards of practice of his own profession (18 VAC 10-20-250.A).

How Important is Your Verification?

If you have been licensed for a few years you may have been asked by someone to complete a verification of his or her experience while under your direct supervision. This verification is part of an individual's application for licensure. It is a step that helps the Board determine if an individual is truly qualified and ready to sit for the professional examination.

In a typical application the individual explains their various employment engagements where they were practicing their profession. In each engagement they are asked to provide a detailed accounting of the type of work they performed. For instance, in the PE application, applicants are asked to explain their experience in categories such as: formulating conclusions and recommendations; identifying design objectives; alternative methods and concepts; defining specifications and many others. They are asked to describe their experience in specific terms and provide an identification of how many years/months of experience they gained.

When an applicant has completed their work experience accounting, that portion of the application is then forwarded to those professionals who had direct supervision over time when the experience was gained or can attest to having knowledge of the accuracy of the applicant's statements.
When a licensee is asked to complete the experience verification they become a significant part of the application process. Their role and the statements they make help ensure that the Board meets the first two objectives in its Mission: that only qualified applicants are permitted to take the examinations for licensure and that only competent individuals are granted licensure to practice.

It is a very important part of the process because it is the supervisors who have the best understanding of the applicant’s suitability for licensure. If a licensee does not do a thorough job in the verification process and knowingly endorses a candidate for licensure that has not gained the necessary experience, the verifying professional has potentially exposed the public to unnecessary risks and harm. They are also in likely violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, which could result in disciplinary action by the Board.

**Questions & Answers**

**QUESTION:** In my practice as a consultant I am frequently required to submit partially finished documents to the local regulatory authority for various reasons. Sometimes it is for planning discussions on how the development will agree with guidelines. Other times it is for preliminary determinations of traffic impacts of utility needs. In all the discussions I have the county staff refer to these drawings as “preliminary” yet they are used as the basis of obtaining advance approvals on various issues. Because I thought they were preliminary I did not seal and stamp them.

Recently a colleague reminded me that the Board rule on Sealing/Stamping usage defines any plan submitted to public officials for agency approvals is a “final” document. It goes on to say that final documents must be signed and sealed. Is he correct?

**ANSWER:** He is correct. Even though the county is considering the documents you describe as preliminary, the actual use as you described

---

**NCEES Passes Engineering Education Resolution**

At its 87th Annual Meeting held August 13-16 in Minneapolis, the National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying (NCEES) passed a resolution to investigate the potential impact of the additional education requirements adopted by the Council in 2006. The requirement calls for engineering licensure candidates to complete 30 credits of additional coursework in the form of a master’s engineering degree or its equivalent before taking the NCEES Principles and Practice of Engineering (PE) exam for licensure.

The resolution describes a number of concerns held by some Member Boards and others in the engineering profession related to implementation of the additional education requirements for licensure. As a result of the adopted resolution, NCEES will charge the 2008-2009 Engineering Education Task Force with providing a written analysis of:

1) the potential educational, professional, regulatory, and economic impact of the master’s or equivalent requirement; and
2) any alternative solutions that could potentially address the challenge of better preparing engineering licensure candidates to enter the profession.

At the Annual Meeting, the Council also passed a motion that will push implementation of the master’s or equivalent requirement to 2020 from the originally scheduled 2015.

The full text of the resolution can be found at [www.ncees.org](http://www.ncees.org). All other motions passed by the Council will appear in the published minutes of the meeting, which will be available in October.
NCARB Releases Information on ARE 4.0

The Architect Registration Examination (ARE) is continuing the change for the better. In July 2008, NCARB will launch ARE 4.0. This latest version of the exam updates and improves the current format by combining graphic and multiple-choice content. ARE 4.0 integrates the exam format while emphasizing the problem-solving skills architects regularly use in day-to-day practice.

One of NCARB’s missions is to safeguard the health, safety, and welfare of the public. The ARE is one way in which NCARB fulfills this mission. The evolution of the ARE responds to the needs of the profession and the public to provide a tool that best assesses a candidate’s knowledge, skill, and ability. The planned changes to the ARE have been subject to intense thought, discussion, and planning to ensure they are in the best interest of the profession and the public-at-large. The ARE will continue to evolve with the profession in order to maintain the protection of the public health, safety, and welfare.

ARE 4.0 Basics:
- ARE 4.0 launched in July 2008
- There will be a one-year transition period between July 2008 and June 2009 for candidates currently testing to complete ARE 3.1
- Candidates who do not pass all the ARE 3.1 by the end of June 2009 will be transitioned to ARE 4.0. Depending on their specific progress, a candidate may have to repeat content already passed under ARE 3.1. Candidates should refer to the NCARB web site’s “transition candidate” page in the ARE 4.0 section for a chart explaining what divisions candidates will need to take if they do not complete the corresponding division in ARE 3.1
- ARE 4.0 will integrate multiple-choice questions with graphic vignettes, but the overall exam content will remain the same.
- ARE 4.0 will have seven divisions instead of nine.
- ARE 4.0 will also introduce enhancements to the Site Grading and Mechanical & Electrical Plan vignettes.
- This evolution of the ARE has been guided by the 2001 Practice Analysis survey conducted by NCARB that provided a comprehensive analysis of the architecture profession.

Benefits of the new exam for candidates:
- Seven divisions instead of nine.
- Reduced number of trips to the test center
- Integration of multiple choice and graphic content
- Condensed subject matter—study for a subject all at once
- Slightly less testing time

Seven divisions of ARE 4.0:
- Programming, Planning, & Practice (85 multiple choice + 1 vignette)
- Site Planning & Design (65 multiple choice + 2 vignettes)
- Building Design & Construction Systems (85 multiple choice + 3 vignettes)
- Schematic Design (2 vignettes)
- Structural Systems (125 multiple choice + 1 vignette)
- Building Systems (95 multiple choice + 1 vignette)
- Construction Documents & Services (100 multiple choice + 1 vignette)

NCARB has launched an interactive section of the web site to help explain the new exam structure and ease the transition for candidates. Two charts on its web site explain how ARE 3.1 will transition to ARE 4.0. For example, the Technology division has been integrated into four ARE 4.0 divisions and the vignettes in ARE 3.1 Site Planning have been incorporated into two divisions. Candidates should also note that ARE 3.1’s General Structures and Lateral Forces divisions will combine with the Structural Layout vignette from Building Technology to form one division in ARE 4.0.

Candidates currently testing should use the next year and the extra transition year—a total of more than two years—to prepare and complete all remaining divisions of ARE 3.1.

The information currently posted on the Council’s web site is just the beginning. The section will continue to be updated over the next two years to address candidate concerns and to better explain...
Disciplinary Actions

The following is a summary of actions taken against regulants by the APEL-SCIDLA Board. Although every effort is made to ensure that the information is correct, before making any specific decisions based upon this information, you should check with the Board office to ensure accuracy.

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

FILE NUMBER 2007-00352, Mohammad R. Jafari
VIOLATION—Mr. Jafari wrongfully copied answers from another examination candidate at the April 2006, NCEES engineers examination. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $150.00 in Board costs and requiring Jafari not to re-take the engineering examination for a four year period. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-710.A EFFECTIVE DATE—December 12, 2006

FILE NUMBER 2006-00737, Charles Eugene Fullerton
VIOLATION—Mr. Fullerton entered into an engineering agreement and failed to complete the jobs required in the agreement. BOARD ACTION—Final Opinion and Order imposing $3,000 in fines as well as the revocation of Mr. Fullerton’s license. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-710.A; 18 VAC 10-20-740.C; and 18 VAC 10-20-795 EFFECTIVE DATE—September 7, 2006

FILE NUMBER 2005-03965, Earl F. McKinney
VIOLATION—Mr. McKinney failed to notify the Virginia Board that his license had been revoked in another jurisdiction. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing the voluntary surrender of Mr. McKinney’s license. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-750 EFFECTIVE DATE—June 15, 2006

FILE NUMBER 2006-01875, Hal R. Sanders
VIOLATION—Mr. Sanders failed to notify the Virginia Board that his license had been revoked in another jurisdiction. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing the voluntary surrender of Mr. Sanders’ license. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-750 EFFECTIVE DATE—June 15, 2006

FILE NUMBER 2007-01880, Carl Edward Duncan
VIOLATION—Mr. Duncan submitted plans to his clients for review which were not marked as incomplete, advance, or preliminary work. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $750 in fines. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-760.B.2 EFFECTIVE DATE—December 13, 2007

FILE NUMBER 2007-04858, Peter Rabun Seckinger
VIOLATION—Mr. Seckinger had a felony conviction resulting in the revocation of the registration in another jurisdiction. BOARD ACTION—Final Opinion and Order imposing $1,150 in fines. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-790.A.2 EFFECTIVE DATE—March 19, 2008

FILE NUMBER 2008-02987, Daniel Joseph Randall
VIOLATION—Mr. Randall stamped plans prepared by an unlicensed person who was not under his direct control and personal supervision. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $2,650 in fines as well as probation of Mr. Baral’s professional engineer license for a period of 2 years. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-760.A EFFECTIVE DATE—June 18, 2008

ARCHITECTS

FILE NUMBER 2006-01873, Samuel Y. Harris
VIOLATION—Mr. Harris failed to notify the Virginia Board of the suspension of his license in another jurisdiction. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing the revocation of Mr. Harris’ license as well as $150 in Board costs. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-750 and 18 VAC 10-20-790.A.2 EFFECTIVE DATE—September 7, 2006

FILE NUMBER 2005-02633, Alvah P. Breitweiser
VIOLATION—Mr. Breitweiser failed to notify the Virginia Board that his license had been suspended in another jurisdiction. BOARD ACTION—Final Opinion and Order imposing $1,500 in fines as well as the revocation of Mr. Breitweiser’s license. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-750; 18 VAC 10-20-760.B.1; 18 VAC 10-20-790.A; 18 VAC 10-20-770; and 18 VAC 10-20-700.A EFFECTIVE DATE—September 7, 2006

FILE NUMBER 2005-03617, Donald M. Stansell
VIOLATION—Mr. Stansell failed to notify the Virginia Board that his license had been revoked in another jurisdiction. BOARD ACTION—Final Opinion and Order imposing $500 in fines as well as the revocation of Mr. Stansell’s license. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-2-0750 and 18 VAC 10-20-740.B EFFECTIVE DATE—June 15, 2006

FILE NUMBER 2007-04714, Harold O. Warner
VIOLATION—Mr. Warner submitted sketches for review which were not marked as incomplete, advance, or preliminary copies. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $200 in fines. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-760.B.2 EFFECTIVE DATE—March 19, 2008

FILE NUMBER 2008-01304, Mark Wade Gibson
VIOLATION—Mr. Gibson used specifications which were not his own without written permission from the owner. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $500 in fines. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-760.B EFFECTIVE DATE—March 19, 2008

FILE NUMBER 2007-03878, Ahmed H. Hassan
VIOLATION—Mr. Hassan submitted “mock up” architectural drawings as required by the contract with his client and failed to seal and sign the plans or identify the plans as incomplete, advance, or preliminary copies. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $600 in fines. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-760.B EFFECTIVE DATE—June 18, 2008

LAND SURVEYORS

FILE NUMBER 2006-04260, Phillip L. Keyser
VIOLATION—Mr. Keyser prepared a “line survey” and entitled the plat “boundary survey” when a current field survey had not been performed. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $750 in fines and $350 in costs. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-370.D.2.m EFFECTIVE DATE—May 28, 2007

FILE NUMBER 2006-04226, L. J. Quesenberry
VIOLATION—Mr. Quesenberry only used information provided by his client and failed to do proper research for placing a right-of-way access for his client. Mr. Quesenberry misrepresented information about the right-of-way road, and intentionally misled the locality in order to get the plat approved and recorded, and improper wording was used in the “Owner’s Statement” as required by the Code of Virginia. BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $2,150 in fines. REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-370.B; 18 VAC 10-20-700.A EFFECTIVE DATE—June 14, 2007

FILE NUMBER 2007-01934, Douglas R. Meredith
VIOLATION—Mr. Meredith submitted traverse closure information showing a closure of one part in 6,894 which is below the specified permissible error ratio of one part in 10,000 specified by the Board for a rural area field traverse.

BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $1,150 in fines as well as 8 hours of continuing education.

EFFECTIVE DATE—June 14, 2007

FILE NUMBER 2007-01883, David L. Deputy

VIOLATION—Mr. Deputy failed to register his corporation with the Board, a plat prepared by Mr. Deputy was not sealed and was not identified as incomplete, advance, or preliminary, Mr. Deputy also failed to flag lot lines as required by contract.

REGULATIONS VIOLATED—§ 54.1-411 of the Code of Virginia, 18 VAC 10-20-760.B, and 18 VAC 10-20-710.A

EFFECTIVE DATE—June 14, 2007

FILE NUMBER 2007-02119, William Stuart Dunn

VIOLATION—Mr. Dunn failed to note the location of each monument set on the subdivision plat submitted, also Mr. Dunn failed to show prominent or well known objects or areas which are informative, including distance to nearest road intersection; source for meridian not shown on plat; plat did not show tax map designation or geographical parcel identification number; location of each monument set was not shown; and no statement was provided on the plat that acknowledged that it is based on a current field survey.

BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $900 in fines as well as proof of course completion on land boundary surveying practice and/or Virginia law and regulations.

REGULATIONS VIOLATED—18 VAC 10-20-370.C.4 and 18 VAC 10-20-370.D.2

EFFECTIVE DATE—September 13, 2007

FILE NUMBER 2007-04062, Donald Albert Nesmith

VIOLATION—Mr. Nesmith failed to disclose in writing to his client that he was being paid a fee by a construction company for providing landscape consulting services during the course of construction on the client’s property.

BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $4,850 in fines as well as probation of his license for 2 years and proof of successful completion of a course covering the Board’s rules and regulations and/or land boundary surveying.


EFFECTIVE DATE—September 13, 2007

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

FILE NUMBER 2006-04501, Greenway Engineering, Inc.

VIOLATION—Greenway Engineering entered into a contract in July 2004 to perform work for a client which was to be completed within 8 to 12 weeks and after 20 weeks from the date the contract was signed no work had been completed, the job was not completed until July 19, 2006, 2 years late.

BOARD ACTION—Consent Order imposing $150 in fines and...
The Virginia Board for Architects, Professional Engineers, Land Surveyors, Certified Interior Designers, and Landscape Architects will be hosting the 2009 NCEES Northeast Zone Meeting, which will be held at the Norfolk Waterside Marriott in Norfolk, Virginia. The meeting will be April 16-18, 2009.

The meeting will begin with registration on Thursday, April 16, 2009, as well as the Colonial States meeting. The first business session of the meeting will begin on Friday, April 17, 2009, and the awards luncheon will also be held on the 17th. The meeting will conclude on Saturday, April 18, 2009, with the second business session and another Colonial States meeting.

The National Council of Examiners for Engineering and Surveying is a national non-profit organization composed of engineering and surveying licensing boards representing U.S. states, territories, and the District of Columbia. An accredited standards developer with the American National Standards Institute, NCEES develops, scores, and administers the examinations used for engineering and surveying licensure throughout the United States. NCEES also provides services facilitating professional mobility for licensed engineers and surveyors. Its headquarters is located in Clemson, SC.
EXAMINATION SCHEDULE

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER & LAND SURVEYOR EXAM DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exam Date</th>
<th>Application Due Date</th>
<th>Exam Fee Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/24/09</td>
<td>12/19/08</td>
<td>3/20/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/23/09</td>
<td>6/26/09</td>
<td>9/18/09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ENGINEER-IN-TRAINING & SURVEYOR-IN-TRAINING EXAM DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exam Date</th>
<th>Application Due Date</th>
<th>Exam Fee Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/25/09</td>
<td>12/19/08</td>
<td>3/20/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/24/09</td>
<td>6/26/09</td>
<td>9/18/09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT EXAM DATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exam Dates</th>
<th>Application Due Date</th>
<th>Exam Fee Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/7/09 AND 12/8/09</td>
<td>8/10/09</td>
<td>11/9/09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Sections C & E Only

Contact CLARB

For Sections A, B, & D Only

Contact CLARB

Contact CLARB

Notes:
- ARCHITECTS: The Architectural Registration Examination is given year-round; therefore, there are no deadlines. The architect applications are reviewed on a continuous basis.
- INTERIOR DESIGNERS: The interior designers examination is administered by CIDA. You may reach them by dialing (202) 721-0220.

MOVING? PLEASE NOTIFY THE BOARD OFFICE OF YOUR NEW ADDRESS.

Name: ______________________________________________________________________________________

Profession: ______________________________________________________________________________

License Number: ____________________________________________________________________________

Old Address: ______________________________________________________________________________

New Address: ______________________________________________________________________________

(P.O. Box must be accompanied by your physical address)

Signature: ________________________________________________________________________________

Date: ______________________________________________________________________________________

Mail to:
APELSCIDLA Board, Department of Professional & Occupational Regulation,
9960 Mayland Drive, Suite 400, Richmond, VA 23233
CALENDAR OF EVENTS

Oct 28 Architects Section
    30 Interior Designers Section
Nov 4 Professional Engineers Section
    6 Landscape Architects Section
    19 Land Surveyors Section
Dec 10 APELSCIDLA Board
    18 APELSCIDLA Board
    12 Professional Engineers Section
Feb 4 Architects Section
    5 Interior Designers Section
    10 Professional Engineers Section
    12 Landscape Architects Section
    18 Land Surveyors Section
Mar 31 APELSCIDLA Board
    12 Architects Section
    7 Interior Designers Section
    12 Professional Engineers Section
May 5 Architects Section
    7 Interior Designers Section
    12 Professional Engineers Section
July 5 Architects Section
    5 Professional Engineers Section
    7 Architects Section
    6 Landscape Architects Section
    19 Land Surveyors Section
Aug 10 APELSCIDLA Board
    12 Landscape Architects Section
    17 Land Surveyors Section
Sept 15 APELSCIDLA Board
    29 Interior Designers Section
    5 Professional Engineers Section
    28 Architects Section
Oct 28 Architects Section
    20 Land Surveyors Section
    25 APELSCIDLA Board
Nov 14 Landscape Architects Section
    14 Architects Section
    19 Architectural Section
    15 Interior Designers Section
    17 Professional Engineers Section
    19 Landscape Architects Section
    20 Land Surveyors Section
    22 APELSCIDLA Board
Dec 16 APELSCIDLA Board

APELSCIDLA BOARD MEMBERS

Architects
James R. Boyd
John S. Clark, Jr.
J. Everette Fauber, III

Prof. Engineers
Stanley C. Harris
Nico De León
Wiley V. “Bif” Johnson, III

Land Surveyors
John R. McAden
Nancy McIntyre **
W. R. Stephenson, Jr.

Landscape Arch.
Vaughn B. Rinner
William H. Spell

Interior Designers
Lorri B. Finn
Cameron C. Stiles *

* Board President, ** Board Vice-President

IMPORTANT TELEPHONE NUMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licensing or Applications</th>
<th>(804) 367-8512 or –8506</th>
<th>Examination Questions</th>
<th>(804) 367-8569</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board Administration</td>
<td>(804) 367-8514</td>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>(804) 367-8504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMPORTANT WEB SITE AND E-MAIL ADDRESSES

APELSCIDLA Web Site: http://www.dpor.virginia.gov E-mail: APELSCIDLA@dpor.virginia.gov

NCARB: http://www.ncarb.org
CLARB: http://www.clarb.org
NCEES: http://www.ncees.org
NCIDQ: http://www.ncidq.org

All Regulants Architects Professional Engineers Land Surveyors Landscape Architects Interior Designers

9960 Mayland Drive
Suite 400
Richmond, VA 23233

Change of Address? Notify the Board Office in Writing.